ALEXANDRA FOSTER CARE MODEL – AN INDIGENOUS COMMUNITY-BASED MODEL OF CARE

SEEPAMORE N SEEPAMORE B 9 OCTOBER 2017





Alexandra township - background

Alexandra - 1912 before the 1913 Land Act

Densely populated 6000 old bonded houses

- 500 new houses
- 52 000 backyard structures
- 1 800 hostel rooms, 1 490 flats

19 000 public space shacks

JDA, 2014

Activism in Alexandra township

1943/1957 bus boycotts (Sarakinsky, 1984)

1986 Alex 6 days war - uprising was a violent protest to stop the Alex master plan (Sinwell, 2009)

Political violence prior to the 1994 elections • 200+ people died, thousands injured and homeless displaced from their homes (Mayekiso, 1996)

Alex model background

Model was developed among a community in turmoil (1992)

- Local non-profit organisations (NPOs) and community-based organisations (CBOs) offered social services
- violence and crime inaccessible area
- Lack of resources and few service providers
- Department of social development new office with one social worker (gradually increased from 1994 onwards)

Background of social work services

Department of Social Development to serve the community Generic social work

Domestic violence, substance abuse, applications for social grants, unemployment and poverty, OVC (abandoned babies) and HIV/AIDS

High caseloads and overstretched/burnt-out staff Projects under-funded (limited community work) Ministerial enquiries

Development of the Alex model

- Dire need to move away from traditional labour-intensive clinical approach
- Towards a more collaborative approach with the community
- Before the white paper on social welfare (1997)
- Social worker faced with crises
- abandoned babies
- Youth in conflict with the law
- Each social worker focused on one of these two broad issues

Develop met of the Alex model

Model founded on principle of Ubuntu using ilima approach.

Ilima (Nguni groups), and letsema in the seSotho groups which means voluntarily working together (Lebeloane & Quan-Baffour, 2008; Ramagoshi, 2013).

Important indigenous practice which was well-established

Community - need to enhance Ubuntu and working collaboratively together

Resources (human/material) - had to be developed by social worker

Asset-based community development

Focus on the resources (not the needs) - Mathie & Cunningham (

The community responsible for own development

Critical social work

Power/oppression/marginalisation in the community

- Use of untapped resources
- Value local skills, resources and processes

Intake and selection of prospective foster parents (group)

Application process by clients (screened selves in/out)

Supporting documents (no more files with incomplete docs)

Waiting times reduced significantly

Using ilima the team (Social worker, students and volunteers) was the selection committee:

- In line with Child Care Act 74 (1983) and later the Children's Act 38 (2005)
- Knowledge of the community
- Home visits
- All files allocated and prepared for court

Welcome pack

All who qualified - orientation (group)

Each foster parent and child matched (age, religion, geographic location, etc)

Individual plan for each child/foster parent pair

Each envelope: copies of foster children's birth certificates, parents' death certificates, clinic cards, foster care placement court order, etc

standard referral letter: local schools, state psychologist, government departments - Home Affairs, Health and Housing

Ongoing selection, training and orientation of foster parents

Foster parent groups

- All were expected to attend monthly meetings (venue of their choice)
- Agenda to be prepared by the foster parents
- All to take primary responsibility for their children
- All appointments and follow-up visits with service providers
- All to ensure that foster care **placements did not lapse**
- Records kept (section 159)
- Liaise with social worker in cases of emergency

Foster parent groups



Develop programmes for the children (school holidays, talks) **Ongoing training - parenting** Guest speakers (govt and local NPOs) other issues - raising teenagers/ toddlers **Prayer sessions** Own issues (bereavement, income generation, etc)

Support group and task group

Groups with foster children

Children seen in groups at school

Focus on own development and foster placement

- Usually run by students with supervision of social worker (qualified)
- Logistics challenge

Some children presented with different issues

Schools sent all children with behaviour problems

Some children not interested

Groups with foster children

Focus on life skills peer pressure communication skills decision-making and choices anger management reproductive health Behavioural problems Academic challenges



Untapped resources – from clients to partners

In addition to the two social workers:

Student social workers (practicals)

Graduate social workers (work experience)

Unemployed community members

Volunteers, foster parents, and community leaders

NPOs and CBOs

Interested community members

Responsibilities: social worker



Identification and selection of foster parents

Open cases: children needing foster care

Training and orientation to the foster care programme

Preparation of welcome pack

Liaison with other service providers

Counselling and group sessions (both parents and children)

Social grant applications

Responsibilities: student social worker

Assist with opening files

Home visits and interviews (selection committee)

Collating files

Follow-up appointments/phone calls

Liaison with social worker

Running groups and some counselling Community projects: income generation, vegetable gardens, etc All logistics



Volunteers, foster parents, community leaders

People who wanted to help

- Some formed part of the selection committee
- Liaison with social worker and the community
- "Navigators" and entry into the community
- Logistics and support (venue, food, materials, etc)



I am because we are - partnerships

Alex service providers forum made up of different stakeholders Likened to a war-room

Bush telegram - word-of-mouth (communication with social worker)

Bartering system developed (social workers and local community based organisations/ non-profit organisations)

Community members took the initiative and also invited the social worker (domestic violence, child abuse, etc)

Children's court (Randburg) - formal placements

Resources

SAPS - transport, escort, tracing, deliveries

Home Affairs - birth registration, IDs, social grant applications

Health care facilities - immunisation, reproductive health, HIV testing and support, etc

Local NPOs - referrals to specialised services

• material resources (printing, use of telephone, etc)

SAPO - delivery in no-go areas, call-in notes, etc (no postage fee)

Institutions of higher learning (student social workers, training)

Resources

Institutions of basic education (venue, telephone, groups, etc)

Municipality - venue for free (meetings, and programmes)

DSD - food basket (tinned foods, Vaseline, soap, milk, towel nappies)

Replication of the model?

Through trial and error

Tsakane township, East Rand (2011) and DSD Vereeniging (2013-2014)

Areas with high levels of poverty and limited services

Social worker links with local service providers

Foster parents to be turned from beneficiaries into partners

Welcome pack (memory box concept)

Use of graduate social workers (or students)

Lessons learnt

Important to profile the community

Physical visit of local service providers

Practice all methods of social work

Face to face interaction (areas of mutual interest/referral)

Identify priority issues together with the community (PAR)

Look for untapped resources prior to asking for external funding

Build relationships, host parents, etc (children over 18 years of age)

Challenge - turnover of staff

Work yourself out - community responsibility

Boitumelo Seepamore 031 260 7640 seepamoreb@ukzn.ac.za